

Gan-Gross-Prasad conjectures for general linear groups

Kei Yuen Chan

Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University

BICMR online seminar
August 2020

Classical examples of restriction problems

- 1 Symmetric group S_n : irr. repn. π_λ parametrized by Young tableaux λ

$$\pi_\lambda|_{S_{n-1}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda'} \pi_{\lambda'},$$

where λ' runs through all tableaux by **removing one box in λ**

- 2 Unitary groups $U(n)$: irr. repn. π_μ parametrized by highest weights in \mathbb{Z}^n

$$\pi_\mu|_{U(n-1)} = \bigoplus_{\mu'} \pi_{\mu'},$$

where μ' runs through all weights interlacing λ i.e.

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu'_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu'_{n-1} \geq \mu_n$$

Classical examples of restriction problems

- 1 Symmetric group S_n : irr. repn. π_λ parametrized by Young tableaux λ

$$\pi_\lambda|_{S_{n-1}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda'} \pi_{\lambda'},$$

where λ' runs through all tableaux by **removing one box in λ**

- 2 Unitary groups $U(n)$: irr. repn. π_μ parametrized by highest weights in \mathbb{Z}^n

$$\pi_\mu|_{U(n-1)} = \bigoplus_{\mu'} \pi_{\mu'},$$

where μ' runs through all weights interlacing λ i.e.

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu'_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu'_{n-1} \geq \mu_n$$

- 1 Other real forms: $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $U(p, q)$
- 2 Other fields $GL(n, F)$ for local and global fields F

Another viewpoint of branching laws

Notation: $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a local field or a global field.
Representations are over \mathbb{C} .

For $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta G_n}(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2^\vee, \mathbb{C})$$

Latter space $G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n$ is spherical (i.e. expectation on finite multiplicity on the Hom-spaces) and lies in the framework of relative Langlands program of Sakellaridis-Venkatesh:

- 1 (Unitary version) Spectral decomposition for $L^2(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$
- 2 (Smooth version) Study the space $C^\infty(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$

Another viewpoint of branching laws

Notation: $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a local field or a global field.
Representations are **over** \mathbb{C} .

For $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta G_n}(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2^\vee, \mathbb{C})$$

Latter space $G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n$ is **spherical** (i.e. expectation on finite multiplicity on the Hom-spaces) and lies in the framework of relative Langlands program of Sakellaridis-Venkatesh:

- 1 (Unitary version) Spectral decomposition for $L^2(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$
- 2 (Smooth version) Study the space $C^\infty(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$

Another viewpoint of branching laws

Notation: $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a local field or a global field.
Representations are **over** \mathbb{C} .

For $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta G_n}(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2^\vee, \mathbb{C})$$

Latter space $G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n$ is **spherical** (i.e. expectation on finite multiplicity on the Hom-spaces) and lies in the framework of relative Langlands program of Sakellaridis-Venkatesh:

- 1 (Unitary version) Spectral decomposition for $L^2(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$
- 2 (Smooth version) Study the space $C^\infty(G_{n+1} \times G_n / \Delta G_n)$

Restriction over local fields

- 1 Mirabolic subgroup:

$$M_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset G_{n+1}$$

- 2 Two steps restriction:

$$G_n \hookrightarrow M_{n+1} \subset G_{n+1}$$

via embedding

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Restriction to mirabolic

Restriction to M_{n+1} :

- 1 **Kirillov conjecture (Unitary version)** (Bernstein (non-Archimedean), Sahi, Sahi-Stein, Baruch (Archimedean)): Any **unitary irreducible** G_n representation restricted to M_n is **topologically irreducible**.
- 2 Duflo geometric interpretation of Archimedean Kirillov conjecture in terms of orbit method (J. Yu)
- 3 **Indecomposability (Smooth version)** (Bernstein-Zelevinsky): For non-Archimedean F , any **smooth irreducible** G_n representation restricted to M_n is **indecomposable**.

Restriction to mirabolic

Restriction to M_{n+1} :

- 1 **Kirillov conjecture (Unitary version)** (Bernstein (non-Archimedean), Sahi, Sahi-Stein, Baruch (Archimedean)): Any **unitary irreducible** G_n representation restricted to M_n is **topologically irreducible**.
- 2 Duflo geometric interpretation of Archimedean Kirillov conjecture in terms of orbit method (J. Yu)
- 3 **Indecomposability (Smooth version)** (Bernstein-Zelevinsky): For non-Archimedean F , any **smooth irreducible** G_n representation restricted to M_n is **indecomposable**.

Restriction to GL

- ① **Multiplicity One** (AGRS, SZ): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$ and $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \leq 1$$

- ② **Generic branching law** (Local GGP, JPSS 1983): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \neq 0$$

- ③ **Prasad conjecture on Generic Ext-branching law** (C.-Savin 2018): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{G_n}^i(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$.

Restriction to GL

- ① **Multiplicity One** (AGRS, SZ): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$ and $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \leq 1$$

- ② **Generic branching law** (Local GGP, JPSS 1983): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \neq 0$$

- ③ **Prasad conjecture on Generic Ext-branching law** (C.-Savin 2018): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{G_n}^i(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$.

- ① **Multiplicity One** (AGRS, SZ): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$ and $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \leq 1$$

- ② **Generic branching law** (Local GGP, JPSS 1983): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \neq 0$$

- ③ **Prasad conjecture on Generic Ext-branching law** (C.-Savin 2018): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{G_n}^i(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$.

- 1 **Analogue of Kirillov conjecture** (C. 2019 Preprint): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then each **Bernstein component** of $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **indecomposable**.
- 2 **Projectivity criteria** (C.-Savin 18, C. 19): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **projective** if and only if π is **generic** and any irreducible G_n -**quotient** of π is **generic**.
- 3 **Non-tempered branching law** (non-tempered GGP conj, proved in C. 2020 preprint)
- 4 Generalizations to **Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models** (C. 2020)

- 1 **Analogue of Kirillov conjecture** (C. 2019 Preprint): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then each **Bernstein component** of $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **indecomposable**.
- 2 **Projectivity criteria** (C.-Savin 18, C. 19): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **projective** if and only if π is **generic** and any irreducible G_n -**quotient** of π is **generic**.
- 3 **Non-tempered branching law** (non-tempered GGP conj, proved in C. 2020 preprint)
- 4 Generalizations to **Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models** (C. 2020)

- 1 **Analogue of Kirillov conjecture** (C. 2019 Preprint): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then each **Bernstein component** of $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **indecomposable**.
- 2 **Projectivity criteria** (C.-Savin 18, C. 19): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then $\pi|_{G_n}$ is **projective** if and only if π is **generic** and any irreducible G_n -**quotient** of π is **generic**.
- 3 **Non-tempered branching law** (non-tempered GGP conj, proved in C. 2020 preprint)
- 4 Generalizations to **Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models** (C. 2020)

Local Langlands correspondence

Let W_F be the Weil group of F . Let WD_F be the Weil-Deligne group. Let

$$WD_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean} \\ W_F & \text{if } F \text{ is Archimedean} \end{cases}$$

$\Phi(G)$ = set of L -parameters i.e. set of admissible maps:

$$\psi : WD \rightarrow {}^L G.$$

Local Langlands correspondence asserts a natural finite surjection:

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G)$$

In the case of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, the map is a bijection established by Zelevinsky, Harris-Taylor, Henniart, Scholze

Local Langlands correspondence

Let W_F be the Weil group of F . Let WD_F be the Weil-Deligne group. Let

$$WD_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean} \\ W_F & \text{if } F \text{ is Archimedean} \end{cases}$$

$\Phi(G)$ = set of **L-parameters** i.e. set of admissible maps:

$$\psi : WD \rightarrow {}^L G.$$

Local Langlands correspondence asserts a natural finite surjection:

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G)$$

In the case of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, the map is a bijection established by Zelevinsky, Harris-Taylor, Henniart, Scholze

Local Langlands correspondence

Let W_F be the Weil group of F . Let WD_F be the Weil-Deligne group. Let

$$WD_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean} \\ W_F & \text{if } F \text{ is Archimedean} \end{cases}$$

$\Phi(G)$ = set of **L-parameters** i.e. set of admissible maps:

$$\psi : WD \rightarrow {}^L G.$$

Local Langlands correspondence asserts a natural finite surjection:

$$\text{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G)$$

In the case of $G = GL_n(F)$, the map is a bijection established by Zelevinsky, Harris-Taylor, Henniart, Scholze

An **Arthur parameter** is the set of ${}^L G$ -orbits of maps

$$\psi : WD_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

such that $\psi|_{WD_F}$ **has bounded image** i.e. has tempered Langlands parameter, and the restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -factor is algebraic.

- 1 The notion of Arthur parameter (and packet) is to remedy some properties failed in the tempered L -packet e.g. endoscopy theory.
- 2 Example: $\mathrm{triv} \boxtimes \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C})$ gives the trivial representation

Arthur parameters

An **Arthur parameter** is the set of ${}^L G$ -orbits of maps

$$\psi : WD_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

such that $\psi|_{WD_F}$ has bounded image i.e. has tempered Langlands parameter, and the restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -factor is algebraic.

- 1 The notion of Arthur parameter (and packet) is to remedy some properties failed in the tempered L -packet e.g. endoscopy theory.
- 2 Example: $\mathrm{triv} \boxtimes \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C})$ gives the trivial representation

From A -parameter to L -parameter

- $\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^2)$: $(k + 1)$ -diml irr. rep. of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$
- Arthur parameter, as a finite $WD_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ψ , takes the form

$$M_A = \sum_d M_d \otimes \text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^2), \quad (1)$$

where each M_d is a tempered representation of WD_F

- Given a Arthur parameter ψ , one obtains a L -parameter:

$$\phi_\psi(w) = \psi(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}), \quad w \in \text{WD}$$

Relevant Arthur parameters

Definition (Gan-Gross-Prasad)

Let M_A and N_A be Arthur parameters. Then M_A and N_A are **relevant** if there exist tempered WD -representations M_0^+, \dots, M_r^+ and M_0^-, \dots, M_s^- such that

$$M_A = \sum_{d=0}^r M_d^+ \otimes \text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \sum_{e=1}^s M_e^- \otimes \text{Sym}^{e-1}(\mathbb{C}^2),$$

$$N_A = \sum_{d=1}^r M_d^+ \otimes \text{Sym}^{d-1}(\mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \sum_{e=0}^s M_e^- \otimes \text{Sym}^e(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

Remark: The notion of relevant is symmetric.

Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

Conjecture (Gan-Gross-Prasad ~ 2019)

Let F be a local field. Let π_M and π_N be Arthur type representations of G_{n+1} and G_n respectively. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_M, \pi_N) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow M_A \text{ and } N_A \text{ are relevant.}$$

Theorem (C. 2020)

If F is non-Archimedean, then the conjecture is true.

Previous results: GGP, Gurevich, Gourevitch-Sayag (Archimedean)

Global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: Generic

Period: Let π and π' be irreducible **cuspidal** automorphic reps of G_{n+1} and G_n respectively. For $\psi \in \pi$ and $\psi' \in \pi'$, period is defined as:

$$F(\psi \otimes \psi') = \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \psi(g) \bar{\psi}'(g) dg$$

- 1 cuspidal \Rightarrow integral **absolutely convergent**
- 2 (JPSS)

$$F(\psi \otimes \psi') \neq 0$$

if and only if the L -function

$$L(s, \text{std}_{n+1} \otimes \text{std}_n, \psi \otimes \psi') \neq 0$$

at $s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: General

In general, the period may not be absolutely convergent and need regularization..

Ichino-Yamana: Over a number field, define a **regularized period** via certain mixed truncation (based on Jacquet-Lapid-Rogawski)

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda^T(\phi)(g) \bar{\phi}'(g) dg$$

where Λ^T is a mixed truncation functor depending on $T \in \mathfrak{a}_0^G$.

Global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: General

In general, the period may not be absolutely convergent and need regularization..

Ichino-Yamana: Over a number field, define a **regularized period** via certain mixed truncation (based on Jacquet-Lapid-Rogawski)

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda^T(\phi)(g) \bar{\phi}'(g) dg$$

where Λ^T is a mixed truncation functor depending on $T \in \mathfrak{a}_0^G$.

A result of Ichino-Yamana

$\mathcal{A}(G)$: the space of automorphic forms of G , with subspaces:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(G) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{disc}}(G) \subset \mathcal{A}(G)$$

Classification of discrete automorphic representations of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$
(Mœglin-Waldspurger):

- 1 a pair (τ, k)
- 2 τ : a cuspidal automorphic repn of $G_{n/k}$
- 3 k divides n , and $n = kl$
- 4 $\nu(g) = |\det(g)|_F$
- 5 π is the unique irreducible quotient of

$$\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G_n(\mathbb{A})} \nu^{(l-1)/2} \tau \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \nu^{-(l-1)/2} \tau$$

A result of Ichino-Yamana

$\mathcal{A}(G)$: the space of automorphic forms of G , with subspaces:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(G) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{disc}}(G) \subset \mathcal{A}(G)$$

Classification of discrete automorphic representations of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$
(Mœglin-Waldspurger):

- 1 a pair (τ, k)
- 2 τ : a cuspidal automorphic repn of $G_{n/k}$
- 3 k divides n , and $n = kl$
- 4 $\nu(g) = |\det(g)|_F$
- 5 π is the unique irreducible quotient of

$$\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G_n(\mathbb{A})} \nu^{(l-1)/2} \tau \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \nu^{-(l-1)/2} \tau$$

A result of Ichino-Yamana

- 1 (Ichino-Yamana) Suppose π, π' are **discrete automorphic representations** which are not 1-dimensional. Then for $\phi \in \pi$ and $\phi' \in \pi'$, $F(\phi, \phi')$ is **absolutely convergent** and is equal to **zero** unless both π and π' are cuspidal.
- 2 Localizing π and π' to a non-Archimedean place is a Speh representation. The Arthur parameter of π_ν takes the form:

$$N \otimes \text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}),$$

where N is an indecomposable WD-representation (i.e. discrete series).

A result of Ichino-Yamana

- 1 (Ichino-Yamana) Suppose π, π' are **discrete automorphic representations** which are not 1-dimensional. Then for $\phi \in \pi$ and $\phi' \in \pi'$, $F(\phi, \phi')$ is **absolutely convergent** and is equal to **zero** unless both π and π' are cuspidal.
- 2 Localizing π and π' to a non-Archimedean place is a Speh representation. The Arthur parameter of π_ν takes the form:

$$N \otimes \text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}),$$

where N is an indecomposable WD-representation (i.e. discrete series).

Tools to prove the local conjecture

Restricting to **mirabolic subgroup** (i.e. Bernstein-Zelevinsky theory):

$$M_{n+1} = G_n \ltimes F^n$$

and G_n acts on F^n by two orbits: **zero orbit and open orbit**. This gives a filtration:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{M_n N}^{G_n} \pi_{N, \psi} \otimes \psi \rightarrow \pi|_{M_{n+1}} \rightarrow \pi_N \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_n & * \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cong F^n.$$

Repeating the process gives a Bernstein-Zelevinsky filtration. To explicate the filtration, one needs a notion of derivatives.

- **Derivative:** Let $R_i = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-i} & x \\ & u \end{pmatrix} : u \in U_i \right\}$. The i -th derivative, as G_{n-i} -repn

$$\pi^{(i)} = \text{(normalized) } \psi\text{-twisted Jacquet functor of } R_i,$$

where ψ is generic character on the part U_i .

- **Level** of π : largest integer such that $\pi^{(i)} \neq 0$.
- **Shifted derivative:** $\pi^{[i]} = \nu^{1/2} \cdot \pi^{(i)}$ e.g.

$$\text{triv}_n^{[1]} = \text{triv}_{n-1}$$

- For the level k^* of π , define $\pi^- = \pi^{[k^*]}$.

Tools: Derivatives

Imposing the **Gelfand-Kazhdan involution**

$$\theta(g) = g^{-t},$$

we have the **left derivative** of π :

$${}^{(i)}\pi = \theta(\theta(\pi)^{(i)})$$

and the shifted derivatives

$$[i]_{\pi} = \nu^{-1/2} \cdot {}^{(i)}\pi$$

A consequence of Zelevinsky theory: When $k^* = \text{level of } \pi$,

$$\pi^- = \pi^{[k^*]} \cong [k^*]_{\pi}$$

is **irreducible**.

Theorem (C. 2019)

Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$. If i is not the level of π , then $\pi^{[i]}$ and $[i]_{\pi}$ do not have isomorphic irreducible quotients and do not have isomorphic irreducible submodules.

Duality for restriction:

Proposition

Let $\pi_1 \in \text{Alg}(G_{n+1})$ and $\pi_2 \in \text{Alg}(G_n)$. For all i ,

$$\text{Ext}_{G_n}^i(\pi_1, \pi_2^\vee) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_{n+1}}^i(\pi_2 \times \sigma, \pi_1^\vee)$$

for a suitable choice of cuspidal representation $\sigma \in \text{Alg}(\text{GL}_2)$.

Proof of 'if direction' (Sketch)

Suppose (M_A, N_A) are relevant. Write

$$\pi_M = u \times \pi'_M, \quad \pi_N = u^- \times \pi'_N,$$

where $u = u_{\rho_1}(m_1, d_1)$ (chosen specially from dual restriction)

(π'_M, π'_N) relevant

\Updownarrow Induction

$\sigma \times \pi'_M$ has a quotient π'_N

\Updownarrow GGP type reduction

$\mathcal{RS}(\pi'_M)$ has a quotient of π'_N

\Downarrow exactness of product

$u^- \times \mathcal{RS}(\pi'_M)$ has a quotient of $u^- \times \pi'_N$

\Updownarrow Filtration on product

$u \times \pi'_M$ has a quotient of $u^- \times \pi'_N$

Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models

Bessel model (for odd corank) and Fourier-Jacobi model (for even corank):

- **Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi subgroups:**

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & * & * \\ & g & * \\ & & u_2 \end{pmatrix} : u_1 \in U_{m_1}, u_2 \in U_{m_2}, g \in G' \right\},$$

where $G' = \{\text{diag}(1, g') \in G_{r+1} : g' \in G_r\}$,
 $r = n - m_1 - m_2 - 1$.

- U_H = unipotent radical of H
- Let $\psi : U_H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be **generic** i.e. dense orbit by $T \rtimes U_H$
Corank = $m_1 + m_2 + 1$ (above not include corank 0 case)

Restriction problem: For $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_r)$,

$$m_H(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \dim \text{Hom}_H(\pi_1 \otimes \psi\delta_H^{-1/2}, \pi_2)$$

Theorem (C. 2020)

Suppose $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ and $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_r)$ with Arthur parameters M_A and N_A . Then $m_H(\pi_1, \pi_2) \neq 0$ if and only if M_A and N_A are relevant.

A missing case: Equal rank

Let $S(F^n)$ be the Bruhat-Schwartz space. Transfer to the problem of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1 \otimes \nu^{-1/2} S(F^n), \pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}((\chi \times \pi_1)|_{G_n}, \pi_2)$$

for a suitable character χ of F^\times .

Theorem (C. 2020)

Let $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$ with Arthur parameters. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi_1 \otimes \nu^{-1/2} S(F^n), \pi_2) \neq 0$ if and only if π_1, π_2 are relevant.

Summary

- 1 **Prasad conjecture on Generic Ext-branching law** (C.-Savin 2018): $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G_n)$ both generic. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{G_n}^i(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$.

- 2 **Analogue of Kirillov conjecture** (C. 2019 Preprint): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then each Bernstein-component of $\pi|_{G_n}$ is indecomposable.
- 3 **Projectivity criteria** (C.-Savin 18, C. 19): Let $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G_{n+1})$. Then $\pi|_{G_n}$ is projective if and only if π is generic and any irreducible G_n -quotient of π is generic.
- 4 **Non-tempered branching law** (non-tempered GGP conj, proved in C. 2020 preprint)
- 5 Generalizations to Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models (C. 2020)

Thank you!